1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 YVONNE SCOTT; et al., 10 Plaintiffs, 3:14-CV-0004-LRH-VPC 11 v. **ORDER** 12 CORIZON HEALTH, INC., 13 Defendant. 14 15 Before the court is defendant Corizon Health, Inc.'s ("Corizon") amended notice of removal. Doc. #3.1 16 17 "[A]ny civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States 18 have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the district court 19 of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). After a party files a petition for removal, the court must determine whether federal jurisdiction exists, even if no objection is made to removal. See Rains v. 20 21 Criterion Systems, Inc., 80 F.3d 339, 342 (9th Cir. 1996). 22 The district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction of civil actions when the

The district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction of civil actions when the suit is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds \$75,000. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). If a complaint does not specify the amount of damages, "the removing defendant bears the burden of establishing, by a preponderance of the

23

24

25

26

¹Refers to the court's docket

Case 3:14-cv-00004-LRH-VPC Document 9 Filed 01/17/14 Page 2 of 2

evidence, that the amount in controversy exceeds \$[75],000." Sanchez v. Monumental Life Ins. Co., 1 102 F.3d 398, 404 (9th Cir. 1996). "The court may consider facts in the removal petition and may 2 require parties to submit summary-judgment-type evidence relevant to the amount in controversy at 3 the time of removal." Kroske v. U.S. Bank Corp., 432 F.3d 976, 980 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal 4 5 quotation marks omitted). 6 After review of the complaint and Corizon's petition for removal, the court finds it requires 7 more evidence to determine whether it has subject matter jurisdiction over this case. In particular, 8 the court notes that the petition for removal acknowledges that plaintiffs' amended complaint "does 9 not allege a specific amount of damages sought for each claim." Doc. #3. Jurisdiction will only 10 exist if Corizon can present "summary-judgment-type evidence" to establish by a preponderance of 11 the evidence that this case meets § 1332(a)'s amount in controversy requirement. Corizon is therefore granted leave to present evidence in order to establish that this action involves the 12 13 requisite amount in controversy. 14 15 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant shall have twenty (20) days from the filing of this order to establish the minimum amount in controversy for the exercise of diversity 16 17 jurisdiction. IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 19 DATED this 17th day of January, 2014. hihas 20 21 22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 23

24

25

26